

**DAF/INV/NCP(2018)3** 

**For Official Use** 

English - Or. English

12 March 2018

# DIRECTORATE FOR FINANCIAL AND ENTERPRISE AFFAIRS INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

**Meeting of the Network of National Contact Points for Responsible Business Conduct** 

# NATIONAL CONTACT POINT 2017 REPORT TO THE OECD Austria Questionnaire

This document is available in PDF format only.	

Kathryn DOVEY, Policy Analyst, Responsible Business Conduct Unit, Kathryn.DOVEY@oecd.org

JT03428085

## **NATIONAL CONTACT POINT REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE (2017)**

This questionnaire is designed to help National Contact Points fulfil their reporting obligations as described in the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. The answers to the questions should reflect the nature and results of the activities of the NCP<sup>1</sup>.

### **STRUCTURE**

This questionnaire comprises 60 questions and 2 annexes under the following headings:

- A. Contact information
- B. Institutional arrangements
- C. Information and promotion
- D. Specific instances
- E. Peer learning
- F. Policy coherence
- G. Impact and future work

Annex 1: NCP PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES

Annex 2: SPECIFIC INSTANCES

### **TYPES OF QUESTIONS**

### 1. Yes/No questions

Most questions are Yes/No questions. For each of these questions, please select "Yes" or "No" from the dropdown menu. If a question is not relevant to your NCP, please select N/A (not applicable).

### Example:

(b) Promotional activities	
23. Did the NCP organise or co-organise events to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP during the year?  If yes, please provide details in table 1 in Annex 1.	Yes/No

### 2. Open-ended questions

a) Under certain Yes/No questions, there are open-ended questions to request more detail. For each open-ended question, an answer box is provided. Please keep responses precise and short.

### Example:

(a) Location of the NCP

1. Is the NCP located in a Ministry?

If yes, in which Ministry is the NCP located?

If yes, in which department of the Ministry?

Click here to enter text

Click here to enter text

Page 74 of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: "Reports should contain information on the nature and results of the activities of the NCP, including implementation activities in specific instances".

b) "Additional comments" boxes are situated at the end of each section. Please feel free to enter any additional information you would like to share in these boxes.

Example	2:
---------	----

Additional comments on Location of the NCP:

Click here to enter text

### **TERMS USED IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE**

A number of terms are used throughout the questionnaire. Definitions are provided below. Please note that these definitions are exclusively intended to assist in clarifying the terms used in this questionnaire.

- Advisory body: Some NCPs have an advisory body which can be consulted by the NCP on a range of activities and issues on which it provides advice. Advisory bodies can include representatives from trade unions, NGOs, business and/or academia, along with representatives of other government ministries or agencies. They do not normally form part of the NCP and do not have decision-making power on accepting or concluding specific instances.
- Dedicated budget: A budget that is allocated to the NCP on a regular basis (e.g. every year) to carry
  out its functions and activities.
- Independent NCP: An independent NCP is one whose members do not belong to a government administration, although generally an independent NCP will be supported by a secretariat based in a government administration.
- Oversight body: Some NCPs have an oversight body, which has a monitoring role, for example on whether the NCP has followed its own rules of procedures. They can include representatives from trade unions, NGOs, business and/or academia, along with representatives of other government ministries or agencies. They do not normally form part of the NCP and do not have decision-making power on accepting or concluding specific instances.
- Stakeholder meeting: A stakeholder meeting is a meeting organised by the NCP to meet with stakeholders, including trade unions, NGOs and the business community that are not part of the structure of the NCP (i.e. they are not members of the NCP, nor present on advisory or oversight bodies).

### **REPORTING PERIOD**

The reporting period for this questionnaire is from 1 January to 31 December 2017.

### **SUBMISSION**

The questionnaire should be completed by using this word document and sent by email to: <a href="mailto:investment@oecd.org">investment@oecd.org</a> copying <a href="mailto:barbara.bijelic@oecd.org">barbara.bijelic@oecd.org</a> and <a href="mailto:kathryn.dovey@oecd.org">kathryn.dovey@oecd.org</a> by <a href="mailto:15">15 January 2018</a>.

Should you have any questions on how to fill this questionnaire, please contact barbara.bijelic@oecd.org.

# NATIONAL CONTACT POINT REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE (2017)

# A. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please provide the contact information of the person filling in the questionnaire.

Name: Job title:

Iris Hammerschmid
Head of Austrian NCP

Email:

Iris.hammerschmid@bmdw.gv.at

Telephone number:

+43 (0) 1 711 00 808817

B. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS		
(a) Location of the NCP		
1. Is the NCP located in a Ministry?	Yes	
If yes, in which Ministry is the NCP located?	Federal Ministry of Science Economy (Federal Ministr and Enterprise as of Janua	ry for Digital, Business
If yes, in which department of the Ministry?	Directorate-General for E European Integration, De Coordination and NCP	•
2. Is the NCP located in an investment promotion agency?	No	
3. Is the NCP an independent NCP?	No	
4. Has the NCP been established through a legal, regulatory or administrative instrument (e.g. a decree)?	Yes	
If yes, please provide the name and date of this instrument	Administrative Decree (A Ministry's Organisational	
Additional comments on Location of the NCP: Click here to enter text.		
(b) Composition of the NCP		
5. Who are the members of the NCP?  Please describe the functions of the members of the NCP (e.g.  President, Secretariat, Independent expert, etc.). No names are required.	Officials of the Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (Federal Ministry for Digital, Business and Enterprise as of January 8, 2018)	
6. Does the NCP have dedicated full-time staff? "Dedicated full-time staff" means staff working full-time on NCP activities. If yes, please indicate the number.	Yes	1
7. Does the NCP have dedicated part-time staff? "Dedicated part-time staff" means staff dedicating part of their time to NCP activities. If yes, please indicate the number.	No	Click here to enter text.
8. Have any full-time or part-time staff members joined the NCP during the year?  If yes, please indicate how many.	No	Click here to enter text.

9. Have any full-time or part-time staff members left the NCP during the year?  If yes, please indicate how many.	No	Click here to enter text.
10. Are other Ministries part of the institutional arrangements of the NCP? (e.g. represented on advisory or oversight bodies, participate in specific instances, etc.)	Yes	
If yes, please list the Ministries (e.g. Social Affairs, Labour, Trade, Environment, Foreign Affairs, Justice, Finance, etc.)	-Federal Chancellery -Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affiars -Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer Protection as of January 8, 2018) -Federal Ministry of Finance -Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (Federal Ministry for Digital, Business and Enterprise as of January 8, 2018)	
11. Are social partners and other stakeholders (trade unions, business community, civil society and other non-governmental organisations) part of the institutional arrangements of the NCP? (e.g. represented on advisory or oversight bodies, represented as members of the NCP, making NCP decisions on specific instances)		
NGOs	Yes	
Representatives of the business community	Yes	
Trade unions	Yes	
12. Does the NCP have an advisory body?	Yes	
	the Austrian NCP meets a discussion with the NCP of developments, on-going spromotional activities.  The responsbilities/functic Committee include (also steering Committee's Terhttps://www.en.bmdw.g	on related recent OECD specific instances and sions of the Steering see Section 8 of the rms of Reference,
If yes, please describe its functions	a. Consulting of the Austr regarding the implementation including the handling of instances; b. The support and consuduring the implementation agenda; c. Participation in the prereport of the Austrian NC Committee; d. The promotion of a brough decide in the further Austrian NCP; f. The suggestion that a moeco Investment Commit regarding the interpretation.	ation of the Guidelines, notifications in specific  Iting of the Austrian NCP on of the pro-active  paration of the annual P to the OECD Investment oad dialogue on the ders; er development of the natter be referred to the ttee in case of doubts

	For further functions of the Steering Committee
	see question 13 below.
If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the advisory body and the type of organisation, or provide a link to the list on the NCP website.  (e.g. government, NGO, trade union, business, business organisation, academic, consumer organisation, etc.)	One representative from each of the following organisations: -Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (Federal Ministry for Digital, Business and Enterprise as of January 8, 2018; government), Chair -Federal Chancellery (government) -Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (government) -Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer Protection as of January 8, 2018; government) -Federal Ministry of Finance (government) -Austrian Federal Chamber of Labour (statutory representation of workers/employees) -Austrian Chamber of Agriculture (representation of farmers and cooperatives) -Austrian Trade Union Federation (trade union) -Federation of Austrian Industries (business organisation) -Austrian Federal Economic Chamber (statutory representation of companies/business) -Südwind (representative of OECD Watch, NGO) -University professor (legal expert in the field of extrajudicial dispute resolution)
If yes, please indicate how often the NCP meets with the advisory body	Twice a year
I	
13. Does the NCP have an oversight body?	Yes
·	Yes  According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the compliance with the latter.
13. Does the NCP have an oversight body?	According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as
If yes, please describe its functions  If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the oversight body and the type of organisation, or please provide a link to the list on the NCP website.  (e.g. government, NGO, trade union, business, business	According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the compliance with the latter.  For a member list of the Steering Committee see
If yes, please describe its functions  If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the oversight body and the type of organisation, or please provide a link to the list on the NCP website.  (e.g. government, NGO, trade union, business, business organisation, academic, consumer organisation, etc.)	According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the compliance with the latter.  For a member list of the Steering Committee see question 12 above.
13. Does the NCP have an oversight body?  If yes, please describe its functions  If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the oversight body and the type of organisation, or please provide a link to the list on the NCP website.  (e.g. government, NGO, trade union, business, business organisation, academic, consumer organisation, etc.)  If yes, please indicate how often the oversight body meets	According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the compliance with the latter.  For a member list of the Steering Committee see question 12 above.
If yes, please describe its functions  If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the oversight body and the type of organisation, or please provide a link to the list on the NCP website.  (e.g. government, NGO, trade union, business, business organisation, academic, consumer organisation, etc.)  If yes, please indicate how often the oversight body meets  14. Does the NCP have a body for both advice and oversight?	According to the Steering Committee's Terms of Reference (Section 8.g.), the Steering Committee is also responsible for the evaluation of the activities of the Austrian NCP, in particular with regard to the compliance with the Terms of Reference and the implementation of the key criteria pursuant to Item I of the Procedural Guidance of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises as well as the compliance with the latter.  For a member list of the Steering Committee see question 12 above.  Twice a year  Yes  For a detailed description of the functions of the Steering Committee see questions 12 and 13

Additional comments on Composition of the NCP: Ad 12., 13. and 14.) The Steering Committee meets at least twice a year. In 2017 it met three times.	
(c) Financial resources	
15. Did the NCP have a dedicated budget this year?	Yes
16. Did the NCP have a dedicated budget to conduct its promotional activities during the year?	Yes
If no, were financial resources for promotional activities allocated on an ad hoc basis when requested by the NCP?	Choose an item.
17. Did the NCP have a dedicated budget to conduct its activities related to specific instances during the year?	Yes
If no, were financial resources for specific instances allocated on an ad hoc basis when requested by the NCP?	Choose an item.
18. During the year, was the NCP able to access funds for the following activities:	
Organising promotional events	Yes
Attending NCP meetings at the OECD	Yes
Attending events organised by other NCPs	Yes
Attending events organised by other stakeholders	Yes
Professional mediator fees or in-house mediator fees	Yes
Fact-finding research into specific instances	No
Additional comments on Financial resources:  Ad 17 and 18.) The Austrian NCP has a dedicated annual special budget for covering the costs of activities related to specific instances, which could e.g. be used for professional mediators. The Austrian NCP did not use professional mediators in the recently closed specific instance (ANDRITZ case).  The Austrian NCP does not dispose of a dedicated budget for fact-finding research into specific instances. In case such funding becomes necessary, funds will be allocated on an ad hoc basis. In the ANDRITZ case, no funded fact-finding mission took place. However, the Austrian embassy Bangkok and the Austrian Export Credit Agency were consulted for fact-finding purposes.	
(d) Reporting	Mari
19. Does the NCP report to the government on its activities?	Yes
If yes, how often?	More than three times a year

Yes

Choose an item.

20. Does the NCP report to parliament on its activities?

If yes, how often?

Additional comments on Reporting:

Ad 20.) The activities of the Austrian NCP are, inter alia, subject of the annual economic report by the Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy and the annual report on external relations by the Federal Minstry of Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs.

Members of Parliament have the right to make requests to the Austrian NCP via the competent Minister (right of interpellation). The last time the Federal Minister of Science, Research and Economy received a parliamentary request with regards to the activities of the Austrian NCP was in November 2015. The request was accordingly answered in January 2016.

C. INFORMATION AND PROMOTION		
(a) NCP website <sup>2</sup>		
21. Was a new NCP website created this year? If yes, please provide the link.	No	Click here to enter text.
22. Are the following items available on the NCP website:		•
Information about the Guidelines and the role of the NCP		
The text of the Guidelines	Yes	
A description of the Guidelines	Yes	
Information on the NCP and its mandate	Yes	
The 2015 NCP Annual Report submitted to the OECD	Yes	
The NCP's own 2015 Annual Report	Yes	
Information about specific instances		
Instructions on how to submit a specific instance	Yes	
The NCP's rules of procedures	Yes	
All final statements since 2011	Yes	
Information on promotional activities		
Information on upcoming events promoting the Guidelines	Yes	
Information on past events promoting the Guidelines	Yes	
Contact information		
Information on how to make an enquiry to the NCP	Yes	
A phone number to reach the NCP directly	Yes	
An email address to reach the NCP directly	Yes	
Additional comments on <b>NCP website</b> :		

Ad 21.) Link to website: www.oecd-leitsaetze.at

In oder to further improve the visibility and accessibility of the Austrian NCP, the Austrian NCP placed a tester on current NCP topics and activities (e.g. conclusion of specific instance proceeding; upcoming and past events; stakeholder survey) on the front page of the Ministry's website. The teaser leads directly to the relevant topic on the website of the Austrian NCP.

The Austrian NCP also installed a direct guick link at the bottom of the front page of the Ministry's website that leads directly to the website of the Austrian NCP.

This includes dedicated NCP webpages as part of the Ministry's website.

(Is) Duranchi and achirists 3	
(b) Promotional activities <sup>3</sup>	
23. Did the NCP organise or co-organise events to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP during the year?  If yes, please provide details in table 1 in Annex 1.	Yes
24. Did the NCP make a presentation to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP in events organised by others?  If yes, please provide details in table 2 in Annex 1.	Yes
25. Did the NCP make use of social media to communicate on NCP promotional activities during the year?	No
26. Did the NCP hold a stakeholder meeting during the year?	Yes
27. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among the business community during the year?	Yes
28. Did the NCP carry out any training on the Guidelines aimed at businesses during the year?	Yes
29. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among NGOs during the year?	Yes
30. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among trade unions during the year?	Yes
31. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among government agencies during the year?	Yes
32. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among embassies abroad during the year?	Yes
33. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines to investment promotion agencies during the year?	Yes
34. Did the NCP refer to the following sector guidance reports in promotional activities during the year:	
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas	Yes
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement in the Extractive Sector	No
OECD-FAO Guidance for Responsible Agricultural Supply Chains	No
Additional comments on the section <b>Promotional activities</b> : In addition to the organisation and participation in events mentioned in Annex 1, the Austrian NCP also engaged in the following activities:	
-conducting a survey among the business community regarding the awareness level of the OECD Guidelines and the Austrian NCP	
-publication of brochure "annual report 2016" in German and English	
-publication of brochure on the Austrian National Contact Point in German and English -distribution of brochures among Austrian embassies and offices of Advantag Austria	

Attendance of NCP meetings held at the OECD and other OECD events such as the Global Forum on Responsible Business Conduct are not considered to be promotional activities.

D. SPECIFIC INSTANCES		
(a) NCP rules of procedure for handling specific instances		
35. Does the NCP have rules of procedure describing the handling of specific instances?	Yes	
36. Are the rules of procedure available online?  If yes, please provide link. If no, please attach a copy of the rules of procedure to this questionnaire	Yes	See link below
37. Were the NCP's rules of procedure modified this year?	Yes	
Additional comments on the section Rules of procedure:  Ad. 36.)  https://www.en.bmdw.gv.at/ExternalTrade/Documents/Terms_of_Reference _Austrian_NCP_29112017.pdf		
New template for submsission of specific instances in German, English and French on website of Austrian NCP.		
Ad. 37.) Amendment of ToR of Austrian NCP in 09/2017:		
-Section 3.8.: Information of parties on proceedings before the Austrian NCP and the further procedure -Section 3.9.: Extension of duration of initial assessment (three months); publication of results of initial assessment on website of Austrian NCP -Section 3.12.: Carry out mediation itself or appoint external intermediary or mediator -Section 3.15.: Collection of feedback after closing of a specific instance -Section 3.16.: Follow-up activities -Section 6.2.: Data and information forwarded by one party shall be forwarded to other involved parties, unless there is a compelling reason (protection of life and limb, trade and business secrets) against forwarding them		
Amendment of ToR of Steering Committee 09/2017:		
-Section 8a.: Including the handling of notifications in specific instances		
(b) Specific instance practicalities		
38. Does the NCP confirm receipt of a specific instance submission?	Yes	
39. Who has the final sign-off on accepting a specific instance? Please provide the function of the relevant staff within the NCP. No names are required.	Head of Austrian N	ICP
40. Who has the final sign-off on final statements? Please provide the function of the relevant staff within the NCP. No names are required.	Head of Austrian N	ICP
41. Does the NCP request feedback from the parties on the procedure following the conclusion of a specific instance?	Yes	
42. Has the NCP staff undergone training in dispute resolution or problem-solving (e.g. mediation)?	Yes	
43. Did the NCP engage professional mediators during the year?	No	
44. Did the NCP staff or members conduct mediation this year?	Yes	

Additional comments on the section <b>Specific instance practicalities</b> :  Ad. 41.) The Austrian NCP requested feedback from the parties in the ANDRITZ case.	
(c) Reporting specific instances	
45. Did the NCP receive new specific instance submissions during the year? If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2	No
46. Of the specific instances that were already in progress at the start of the year, are there any that are still ongoing at the end of the calendar year? If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2	No
Additional comments on the section Reporting specific instances:  Click here to enter text.	

E. PEER LEARNING	
47. Did the NCP take part in the following activities with other NCPs during the year:	
NCP-hosted peer learning activities	Yes
Co-operation with other NCPs in handling specific instances	No
Mentoring/capacity building of another NCP	No
48. Is the NCP interested in hosting an NCP learning/experience-sharing event in 2017?	No
49. Which topic would the NCP consider to be a priority to cover in a peer learning event?	Mediation
50. Is the NCP interested in participating in developing tools for use by NCPs?	Yes
Additional comments on the section <b>Peer-learning activities</b> :  Ad 47.) The Austrian NCP attended the mediation workshop of the U.S. NCP on September 25-26.	

# F. POLICY COHERENCE<sup>4</sup>

\_

Paragraph 37 of the Commentary on the Implementation Procedures of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: "Statements and reports on the results of the proceedings made publicly available by the NCPs could be relevant to the administration of government programmes and policies. In order to foster policy coherence, NCPs are encouraged to inform these government agencies of their statements and reports when they are known by the NCP to be relevant to a specifics agency's policies and programmes [...]."

51. Have the Guidelines been referred to in relevant national legislation adopted during the year? (e.g. on responsible business conduct; non-financial reporting, export credits regulation, public procurement)	No		
If yes, please provide a link to the legislation.  If a link is not available, please attach a copy of the legislation to this questionnaire.	Click here to enter text.		
52. Did the NCP communicate public statements on specific instances to public procurement officials?	No		
53. Did the NCP communicate public statements on specific instances to officials responsible for trade missions?	Yes		
54. Did the NCP communicate public statements on specific instances to officials responsible for foreign trade and investment incentives?	Yes		
55. Did your country adopt a National Action Plan (NAP) this year?  If yes, please indicate which type of NAP	No	Choose an item.	
If yes, does the NAP make reference to the Guidelines?	Choose an item.		
If yes, does the NAP make reference to the NCP?	Choose an item.		
56. Was a NAP in development this year?  If yes, please indicate which NAP.	No	N/A	
If yes, did the NCP participate in the development of the National Action Plan?	Choose an item.		
57. Please indicate any other examples of policy coherence activities:		rederal Ministry for arprise as of January 8, aned about NCP activities, es. Similarly, the Austrian icials responsible for an Export Credit Agency ment Bank about NCP ances. Moreover, as to 14 above, the Steering lia composed by members stries, is regularly	
Additional comments on Policy Coherence: Click here to enter text.			

G. IMPACT AND FUTURE WORK	
58. Does the NCP have a promotional plan for the coming year (2017)?	Yes
59. Is the NCP interested in being a <u>reviewer</u> in a peer review planned in 2017 or 2018?	Yes
60. Is the NCP interested in being an <u>observer</u> of a peer review planned in 2017 or 2018?	No

61. Please enter the relevant fluent language capabilities of your team (French, English and/or Spanish)	English
62. Has the NCP faced any particular challenge(s) it would like to highlight this year?	One of the challenges the Austrian NCP faced was closing the ANDRITZ specific instance case. After mediation by the Austrian NCP, the parties agreed on a Joint Statement. The Joint Statement was signed by Finance & Trade Watch Austria, EarthRights International, ANDRITZ HYDRO GmbH and the Austrian NCP and includes a follow-up.  Six complainant organisations left the proceedings in March and April 2017. The organisations stated that it was no longer productive within the mediation process to discuss the responsibility for the Xayaburi hydropower project's transboundary impacts.  The second major challenge the Austrian NCP faced was organising and preparing the peer review of the Austrian NCP. The on-site visit took place on December 14-15, 2017.
Additional comments on the section Impact and future work: Click here to enter text.	

### **Annex 1: NCP PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES**

Please provide information on the events organised or co-organised by the NCP (Table 1), and events in which the NCP has participated to promote the Guidelines (Table 2). Please select the event type, size and type of audience as well as the theme from the dropdown menus for each event. Please add additional lines if needed.

# Table 1

NCP-organ	NCP-organised and co-organised events to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP							
Title	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Location	Type of event	Size of audience	Organised or co- organised?	Targeted audience e.g. Business representatives, NGOs, Trade unions, Academia, General public, Government representatives, etc.	Theme e.g. the OECD Guidelines, the NCP activities on sector due diligence guidance documents, etc.	
Managing Global Responsibi lity: Due Diligence in the OECD Guidelines	24/04/2017	Austrian Federal Economic Chamber	Conference	10-50	Co-organised	Business, NGOs, Trade Unions, Academia, Government	Due diligence	
Dialogue with Strong Impact: The Complaint Procedure within the framework of the OECD Guidelines	30/05/2017	Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy	Conference	10-50	Organised	Business, NGOs, Trade Unions, Academia, Government	Handling of a specific instance procedure	
Dialogue with	30/05/2017	Federal Ministry of	Conference	10-50	Organised	Employee representatives on the supervisory board of stock-listed Austrian companies	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD	

Employee Represent atives		Science, Research and Economy					Guidelines
Responsibi lity in Internation al Business. Responsibl e business manageme nt between theory and practice	02/10/2017	Federation of Austrian Industries	Conference	50-100	Co-organised	Business, NGOs, Trade Unions, Academia, Government	Integrating different RBC standards into one stringent sustainability strategy

Total number= 4

# Table 2

Presentati	Presentations by the NCP to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP in events organised by others							
Title	<b>Date</b> (dd/mm/yyyy)	Location	Type of event	Size of audience	Targeted audience e.g. Business representatives, NGOs, Trade unions, Academia, General public, Government representatives, etc	Organiser(s)	Type of intervention	Theme of the intervention
Multilogue on Managing Global Responsibi lity	09/05/2017	Voestalpine Linz	Conference	10-50	Business	ICEP	Participation in a panel discussion	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD Guidelines
Globalised Economy, Globalised Responsibi lity	15/05/2017	Federal Ministry of Justice	Conference	>100	NGOs, Trade Unions, Academia,	Network of Social Responsibility	Participation in a panel discussion	Implementation of human rights due diligence in Austria; Cases before the NCPs
Visit of a high-level delegation	06/07/2017	Federal Ministry of Science,	Meeting	10-50	Georgian Delegation including representatives from	Embassy of Georgia in Vienna	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD Guidelines	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD Guidelines

from Georgia		Research and Economy			parliament and government			
Degree programm e Academic CSR- Manager	29/09/2017	University of Applied Sciences of the BFI Vienna	Meeting	<10	Students in the degree programme Academic CSR-Manager	University of Applied Sciences of the BFI Vienna	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD Guidelines	Presentation of the NCP mechanism and the OECD Guidelines
UN Global Forum on Business and Human Rights: Lessons learned from the OECD NCP peer review process	28/11/2017	United Nations/Geneva	Conference	>100	Business, NGOs, Trade Unions, Academia, General Public	OECD	Participation in a panel discussion	Experiences as an observer in the German peer review and preparation for Austrian peer review
Workshop on risk- and impact- analysis	4/12/2017	PORR	Meeting	10-50	Business, NGOs, Trade Unions	PORR and VieSto Consulting	Participation in a workshop and breakout session on human rights	Presentation of the NCP mechanism, the OECD Guidelines and discussion of the implementation and evaluation of risk- and impact-analysis-tools with regards to human rights, corruption, environment and social- and labour standards

### **Annex 2: SPECIFIC INSTANCES**

### Please provide details of the following in the table below:

- 1. Specific instances **in progress** during the year:
  - Before the initial assessment: specific received and initial assessment in development
  - After the initial assessment: specific instances received and found to merit further examination after the initial assessment, and under consideration by the NCP. Good offices were offered to the parties.
- 2. Specific instances **concluded** during the year (specific instances that the NCP found to merit further examination after the initial assessment and that have subsequently been closed). Please include any follow-up planned or executed regarding concluded specific instances. When NCPs engage in follow-up they review how the parties have implemented the agreement and recommendations.
- 3. Specific instances **not accepted** during the year (specific instances that the NCP found not to merit further examination).

Please add additional lines if needed.

We encourage all NCPs to inform the Secretariat on received specific instances and to provide a final statement as soon as it becomes available, in order to keep the OECD database updated at all times. For all specific instances that have not yet been reported to the Secretariat, please complete and send this form to Sama.Altahercucci@oecd.org and Kathryn.dovey@oecd.org<sup>5</sup>.

### For all specific instances that are currently IN PROGRESS:

### Before the initial assessment

Names of parties	Date received (dd/mm/yyyy)	Link to OECD database i available	f Did the NCP co-operate w	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.			
N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A			
After the initial assess	ment						
Names of parties	Date received (dd/mm/yyyy)	Is the initial assessment publicly available?	Link to OECD database if available	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.			

According to paragraph 42 of the Commentary on the Implementation Procedures of the OEDC Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, "[...] NCPs will report to the Investment Committee in order to include in the Annual Report on the OECD Guidelines information on all specific instances that have been initiated by parties, including those that are in the process of an initial assessment, those for which offers of good offices have been extended and discussions are in progress, and those in which the NCP has decided not to extend an offer of good offices after an initial assessment".

N/A	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	N/A
,	•		,	•

Total number= 0

Watch Austria,

EarthRights

International vs.

ANDRITZ HYDRO GmbH

For all specific instances that were CONCLUDED during the year:							
Title	Date received (dd/mm/yyyy)	Date concluded (dd/mm/yyyy)	Link to OECD database if available	Outcomes achieved	Follow-up by the NCP	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.	
Finance & Trade							

http://mneguidelines.oe cd.org/database/instanc es/at0005.htm

Total number=	1

27/06/2017

09/04/2014

For all specific instances that were NOT ACCEPTED during the year:					
Title	Date received (dd/mm/yyyy)	Link to OECD database if available	Reasons for not accepting the specific instance	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.	
N/A	N/A	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	

Agreement reached between the

parties inside the NCP process

Follow-up planned for the future

No

Total number=	0
rotar mamber =	

# **END OF QUESTIONNAIRE**